

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,912	01/12/2001	Willem Frederik Van Eelen	BO42358	4846
7.	590 06/12/200	3		
Young & Thompson			EXAMINER	
Second Floor 745 South 23rd			SAUCIER, SANDRA E	
Arlington, VA	22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
		DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/581,912

Applicant(s)

Van Eelen et al.

Examiner

Sandra Saucier

Art Unit 1651



The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication appears on the	e cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi	MUNICATION.		
mailing date of this communication.			,
 If the period for reply specified above is less than the lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximer Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 	um statutory period will apply and will r reply will, by statute, cause the applic onths after the mailing date of this com	expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on		·
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) 💢 This action is	non-final.	
3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the			rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>			is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)			is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)			. is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)			
8) 🔀 Claims <u>1-23</u>		are subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) 🗌	accepted or b)	\exists objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) \square The proposed drawing correct	ion filed on	is: a)□ ar	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawing	s are required in reply to this	office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is object	ected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	120		
13) Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ N	one of:		
1. \square Certified copies of the pr	riority documents have bee	n received.	
2. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents have bee	n received in Appl	lication No
application from t	the International Bureau (Pi	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage
*See the attached detailed Office			
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of	· .	·	
a) U The translation of the foreig	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for domestic priori	rty under 35 U.S.C	33 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-	-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	=	Notice of Informal Patent	·
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Other:	

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-15, drawn to a process of making a meat product comprising culturing muscle, somite or stem cells.

Group II, claims 16-23, drawn to a meat product comprising *in vitro* produced animal cells in a three dimensional form.

An international or national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the of following combinations of categories;

- (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) a process and a apparatus specifically designed for carrying out said process; or
- (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product and an apparatus specifically designed for carrying out said process. 37 CFR 1.475.

The groups of invention fall within category (1), a product and a method

Art Unit: 1651

of making of that product, and thus may be considered to have unity of invention under this requirement.

However, upon further analysis, the inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The product AS CLAIMED is known in the art. See Chromiak *et al.* [U] where a three-dimensional, animal skeletal-muscle cell culture product is disclosed. Thus, no special technical feature links the two groups of claims even though they fall within one category.

Page 3

The expression "special technical feature" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art (PCT Rule 13.2, MPEP 1850). Thus, a feature found in the prior art cannot be considered to be a special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1651. The supervisor for 1651 is M. Wityshyn, (703) 308-4743. The normal work schedule for Examiner Saucier is 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday and Tuesday and 8:30 AM-12:30 PM on Wednesday.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Saucier whose telephone number is (703) 308–1084. Status inquiries must be directed to the Customer Service Desk at (703) 308–0197 or (703)–308–0198. The number of the Fax

Art Unit: 1651

Center for the faxing of official papers is (703) 872-9306 or for after finals (703) 872-9307.

Sandra Saucier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651 June 6, 2003